FORM 26. Docketing Statement

Form 26 (p. 1) July 2020

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

DOCKETING STATEMENT

Case Number: 23-1	Case Number: 23-1969					
Short Case Caption: Xerox Corp. v. Facebook, Inc.						
Filing Party/Entity: Xerox Corporation						
·						
Instructions: Complete each section or check the box if a section is intentionally blank or not applicable. Attach additional pages as needed. Refer to the court's Mediation Guidelines for filing requirements. An amended docketing statement is required for each new appeal or cross-appeal consolidated after first filing.						
Case Origin	Originating Number	Type of Case				
Case Origin	Originating Number	Type of Case				
PTAB	IPR2021-01461	Inter Partes Review				
Relief sought on appeal: None/Not Applicable Reversal of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's determination in IPR2021-01461 that original claims 1-5, 7-11, 13-17, and 19-20 and substitute claims 21-25, 27-31, 33-37, and 39-40 of U.S. Patent No. 9,208,439 are unpatentable.						
Relief awarded below (if damages, specify): None/Not Applicable						
The Board determined in IPR2021-01461 that original claims 1-5, 7-11, 13-17, and 19-20 and substitute claims 21-25, 27-31, 33-37, and 39-40 of U.S. Patent No. 9,208,439 are unpatentable.						
Briefly describe the judgment/order appealed from:						
The Board's March 22, 2023 final written decision in IPR2021-01461 that original claims 1-5, 7-11, 13-17, and 19-20 and substitute claims 21-25, 27-31, 33-37, and 39-40 of U.S. Patent No. 9,208,439 are unpatentable.						
Nature of judgment (select one): Date of judgment: 3/22/23						
 ☐ Final Judgment, 28 US ☐ Rule 54(b) ☐ Interlocutory Order (sp ☐ Other (explain) 	and the second					

FORM 26. Docketing Statement

Form 26 (p. 2) July 2020

Name and docket number of any name of the writing judge if an o		Salar		INITIATION CONTRACTOR SANCTIAN		
Case No. 23-1967, Xerox Corp. v. Snap Inc. Case No. 23-1968, Xerox Corp. v. X Corp. F/K/A Twitter, Inc.						
Issues to be raised on appeal: None/Not Applicable						
Whether the Board erred when it determined that original claims 1-5, 7-11, 13-17, and 19-20 and substitute claims 21-25, 27-31, 33-37, and 39-40 of U.S. Patent No. 9,208,439 are unpatentable.						
Have there been discussions witl ☐ Yes ☑ No	n other parti	es relating to	o settlement	of this case?		
If "yes," when were the last such ☐ Before the case was filed b ☐ During the pendency of th ☐ Following the judgment/or	elow e case below	Ĉ.				
If "yes," were the settlement discussions mediated? Yes No If they were mediated, by whom?						
N/A						
Do you believe that this case may Explain.	y be amenab	le to mediati	on? ☐ Yes	☑ No		
Parties are at an impasse.						
Provide any other information remediation program.	levant to th	e inclusion of	f this case in	the court's		
N/A						
Date: _6/15/23	Signature:	/s/ James E. Quigley				
	Name:	James E.	Quigley			